

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff)	
v.)	Case No. 16-CR-20810-04
)	Honorable Nancy G. Edmunds
)	
)	
)	

**SPECIAL MASTER’S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL
OF THE THIRTY-SECOND DISTRIBUTION OF
INDIVIDUAL RESTITUTION FUND**

Eric D. Green, as Special Master of the Takata Restitution Funds, respectfully submits this request (the “Request”) for this Court’s approval of the thirty-second distribution from the Individual Restitution Fund (defined below) and respectfully represents as follows:

BACKGROUND

**I. Creation Of The Takata Restitution
Funds And Appointment Of The Special Master.**

On February 27, 2017, the United States Department of Justice and Takata Corporation (“Takata”) filed the *Rule 11 Plea Agreement* [Docket No. 23] (the “Plea Agreement”) to resolve criminal charges brought by the government against Takata in connection with Takata’s design, manufacturing, testing, sale and distribution of automobile airbag inflators. The Plea Agreement, which was accepted by this Court,

provides, *inter alia*, for the appointment of a Special Master to oversee the distribution of \$975 million in restitution (the “Restitution Funds”) that Takata agreed to pay to designated claimants, including auto manufacturers (the “OEMs”) and individuals with personal injuries.¹ This proposed thirty-second distribution addresses only the restitution to individuals under the Individual Restitution Fund (defined below).

Contemporaneously with the acceptance of the Plea Agreement, the Court entered the *Restitution Order* [Docket No. 24] (the “Restitution Order”) requiring Takata to, among other things, pay \$125 million in restitution to individuals who suffered (or will suffer) personal injury caused by the malfunction of a Takata airbag inflator, and who have not already resolved their claims against Takata (the “Individual Restitution Fund” or “IRF”).

Pursuant to the Plea Agreement, on July 31, 2017, the Court entered an order appointing Eric D. Green as Special Master of the Takata Restitution Funds (the “Appointment Order”) [Docket No. 40] to administer the Individual Restitution Fund (as well as the OEM Restitution Fund). Pursuant to paragraph 2 of the

¹ The Restitution Order requires, *inter alia*, Takata to pay \$850 million in restitution to the OEMs in connection with their purchase of Takata airbags inflators (the “OEM Restitution Fund”). The Special Master previously submitted the proposed allocation of the OEM Restitution Fund and requested Court approval of the proposed notice program [Docket No. 49]. The Court entered the order approving the proposed notice program to distribute notice regarding the OEM Restitution Fund on November 28, 2017 [Docket No. 50], and the distribution of the \$850 million in restitution to the OEMs has been completed in accordance with the Court’s orders [Docket Nos. 81, 90, 100, 105].

Appointment Order, the Special Master's responsibilities include, *inter alia*, establishing procedures, subject to Court approval, to determine eligible claimants and the amount of loss eligible for compensation, developing a formula or formulas, subject to Court approval, for distributing funds to eligible claimants, making determinations regarding allowed claims, and making a recommendation to the Court regarding allocation of funds from the Individual Restitution Fund.

A. The Revised IRF Methodology.

On March 21, 2018, the Court entered an order approving the Special Master's proposed approach to distributing the funds in the IRF (the "Revised IRF Methodology").² The Revised IRF Methodology sets forth the requirements for qualifying as an Eligible Claimant³ and divides eligible claims into two categories: (i) "Current Claims" filed with the Special Master by August 31, 2018; and (ii) "Future Claims"⁴ filed after August 31, 2018. Under the Revised IRF

² *Order Granting Special Master's Request for Approval of the Revised Individual Restitution Fund Methodology* [Docket No. 77] and *Overruling Defendant's Objection* [Docket No. 78] (the "IRF Methodology Order").

³ "Eligible Claimant" means an individual (1) who has suffered personal injury or death caused by the rupture or aggressive deployment of a Takata phase-stabilized ammonium nitrate (PSAN) airbag inflator (the "PSAN Airbag Inflator Malfunction"); (2) who was at the time the PSAN Airbag Inflator Malfunction occurred (a) in a vehicle located or registered in the United States, its territories or its possessions, or (b) a U.S. citizen or permanent resident (wherever the PSAN Airbag Inflator Malfunction occurred); and (3) who has not already resolved his or her claim against Takata Corporation and/or any of its affiliates.

⁴ The Special Master now refers to "Future Claims" as simply "Claims" given that all claims that are processed pursuant to this Request and thereafter were filed after August 31, 2018.

Methodology, a portion of the IRF is allocated to Current Claims and the balance is reserved for Future Claims based on estimations of Current and Future Claims conducted by NERA.

Given that the estimated value of all anticipated Current and Future Claims far exceeds the \$125 million in the Individual Restitution Fund, the Special Master decided to utilize a relative valuation approach to determine awards to Eligible Claimants. Under this approach, points are assigned to claims based on injury categories in an injury valuation matrix and certain other factors, and then the points assigned to each claim are converted to a monetary award based on the number and value of allowed claims and the funds available. Future Claims are valued and paid under the same procedures as Current Claims. If there are fewer Future Claims than estimated, unused funds will be distributed to all eligible claimants on a proportional basis.

On February 4, 2021, the Special Master moved the Court to modify the Revised IRF Methodology and the points schedule incorporated therein [Docket No. 138] (the “Points Modification Motion”) to more equitably compensate personal injury victims. On February 26, 2021, the Court entered an order approving the Points Modification Motion [Docket No. 140].

B. The Claim Forms and Notice Program.

On May 29, 2018, the Special Master obtained Court approval of the following in connection with the IRF: (i) the Notice Program; (ii) the Personal Injury Claim Form; (iii) the Wrongful Death Claim Form; (iv) the lists of required supporting documentation; (v) the Notice of Claim Form, which enables claimants to timely file but defer consideration of their claim; and (vi) the HIPAA Release.⁵

The next day, May 30, 2018, the Special Master launched the targeted Notice Program for the IRF, including direct notification through mail and email, indirect notice through international publication and a press release, and various types of online media. With respect to the direct notification, the Claims Administrator mailed a claim package consisting of a direct notice, claim forms, supporting documentation checklists, and a notice of claim. This targeted notice supplemented the notice program in the U.S. Bankruptcy Proceedings, which was designed to reach approximately 83 million past and present registered owners of a vehicle containing a Takata PSAN Inflator. Subsequently, the Special Master has received, evaluated, processed, and paid claims pursuant to the IRF Methodology upon receiving Court approval in response to periodic distribution requests.

⁵ *Order Granting Special Master's Request for Approval of Individual Restitution Fund Claim Forms, Notice Program, and Extension of Current Claims Filing Deadline*, dated May 29, 2018 [Docket No. 94].

C. Thirty-First Distribution Request.

On March 25, 2025, the Special Master filed the *Special Master's Request for Approval of Thirty-First Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund* [Docket No. 219] (the "Thirty-First IRF Distribution Request"). In the Thirty-First IRF Distribution Request, the Special Master indicated that he evaluated each Claim, determined whether such claims were eligible for compensation from the IRF, and, if eligible, assigned a point value to each claim. In total, after all internal reviews and appeals, 1,200 points were awarded to those Claimants. On May 12, 2025, the Court entered its *Order Granting Special Master's Request for Approval of Thirty-First Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund* (the "Thirty-First Request Order") [Docket No. 221].

D. The Evaluation of Claims Subject to the Thirty-Second Distribution Request.

Since entry of the Thirty-First Request Order, the Special Master has administered, reviewed, analyzed, and evaluated ten (10) additional Claims. The purpose of this Request is to seek the Court's approval of the Special Master's determinations for these Claims.

Epiq, under the Special Master's supervision, reviewed each of the ten (10) Claims for: (i) facial deficiencies, such as a missing signature, lack of basic documentation, or failure to supply required information; and (ii) more substantive deficiencies, such as failure to supply evidence of a rupture or aggressive

deployment. If deficiencies were identified by Epiq, then notices were sent out to those claimants, or their attorneys, identifying the deficiencies and requesting supplementation within the cure period set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology.

Once a Claim was deemed complete, it was evaluated by staff at Epiq, reviewed by senior management at Epiq according to criteria developed and specified by the Special Master, and then sent to the Special Master for final review and determination.

Ultimately, of these ten (10) Claims, the Special Master and his team determined that nine (9) of the Claims are eligible for compensation and one (1) of the Claims is ineligible for compensation. With respect to the one (1) ineligible Claim, the Claim did not have an eligible Takata airbag inflator installed in the subject vehicle.

For the nine (9) eligible Claims, the Special Master, with the assistance of his advisors, finalized the point awards following multiple layers of evaluation to ensure the eligible Claims were treated fairly and equitably.

i. Notice of Award or Denial.

Next, the Special Master sent award or denial letters to the ten (10) Claimants, as applicable, notifying them of the Special Master's determination and, if eligible, their proposed point award. Award letters included the number of points that each Claimant had been awarded, as well as the dollar value of a point and the dollar value

of their Claim. The denial letters that were sent to ineligible Claimants notified the Claimants of the basis of the Special Master's determination.

ii. Appeal Process.

Upon receipt of the award letter, Claimants were provided the opportunity to appeal the Special Master's determination through the internal appeals process set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology. Claimants could initiate an appeal by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Special Master within thirty (30) days of receipt of the determination letter (the "Appeal Deadline"). Prior to the expiration of the Appeal Deadline, the Special Master received: (i) one (1) Notice of Appeal regarding a determination of ineligibility, and (ii) six (6) Notices of Appeal regarding valuation.

As required under the Revised IRF Methodology, randomly assigned Review Officers re-examined the seven (7) Claims for which a Notice of Appeal was filed and made a recommendation to the Special Master for each reviewed Claim. The one (1) ineligibility appeal was affirmed by the assigned Review Officer. With respect to the six (6) valuation appeals, five (5) were affirmed by the assigned Review Officer.

For the sole remaining valuation appeal, the Review Officer recommended an increase to the Claimant's award based on the Decedent's prolonged suffering. The Review Officer noted a conflict between the forensic pathologist's report and the police report as to the time of the accident relative to the time of death and further

noted that the description of Decedent's movements before death was indicative of prolonged suffering. For fatalities, the Points Schedule provides an additional 1,500 points in the event the Decedent experienced prolonged suffering. Following a thorough review of the claim submission, the Special Master determined that the Decedent experienced prolonged suffering and, accordingly, awarded the Claimant an additional 1,500 points. Thus, the Special Master's initial determination already incorporated a 1,500 points enhancement to account for the Decedent's demonstrated prolonged suffering. Further, this Claim has already been awarded the highest amount provided for under the IRF methodology. Because the Special Master's determination already accounts for the Decedent's prolonged suffering, the Special Master recommends that the Court approve the point award as initially issued. The Special Master's recommendation with respect to each appeal is contained in **Exhibit C.**

II. Thirty-Second Distribution Request.

A. Claims Determinations.

In accordance with the Revised IRF Methodology, the Special Master has evaluated each Claim, determined whether it is eligible for compensation from the IRF, and, if eligible, assigned a point value. In total, after all internal reviews and appeals, 13,203 points were awarded for the nine (9) eligible Claims. The value of a

point is currently set at \$224. Accordingly, the Special Master recommends that \$2,957,472.00 be distributed to the Claimants included in this proposed distribution.

Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a chart of the nine (9) Claims determined to be eligible for compensation, the points awarded to the Claims, and the corresponding monetary value of each point award, based on the proposed dollar value of a point. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a chart reflecting the one (1) Claim determined to be ineligible for compensation, along with the basis for denial. The names of the claimants in each exhibit are removed to protect each Claimant's personal information.

The Special Master recommends that the Court approve the Claimants listed on **Exhibit A** as Eligible Claimants and the distribution of the monetary awards listed on **Exhibit A** to those Claimants. The Special Master further recommends that the Court approve the denial of the Claim listed on **Exhibit B**.

B. Releases.

The Court previously approved conditioning payment from the IRF on the execution and submission of a release to the Special Master. *See* IRF Methodology Order. In addition, the Court ordered that attorney's fees for Claims may not exceed twenty-five percent 25% of an award, except for good cause shown as to why the permissible attorney's fees portion of an award should be upwardly adjusted. *See id.*, at Section VII(I). The Special Master recommends requiring that, as a condition for payment from the IRF to any individual represented by counsel, counsel must execute a rider to the release acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order.

C. Notice And Objections.

Consistent with the procedures set forth in the *Minutes of July 25, 2019 Conference with Special Master* [Docket No. 110] (attached hereto as **Exhibit D**), the Special Master will notify Claimants: (i) of their point award and the monetary value of the award (if any); (ii) of the filing of this Request; and (iii) that they may object to the Request by submitting a written response to the Special Master on or before June 24, 2025 (the "Objection Deadline"). Shortly following the Objection Deadline, the Special Master will confer with the Court and file with the Court a supplemental filing providing further information with any objections filed on or before the Objection Deadline as permitted in the Request and the Special Master's

recommendation with respect to any such objections. Following that submission and any further meeting or request of the Court, the Special Master will request that the Court enter an order approving this Request.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Special Master requests that the Court enter an order substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit E** approving: (a) the distribution to Claimants as set forth on **Exhibit A** hereto; and (b) conditioning payment from the IRF to individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order.

Dated: May 30, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'E. D. Green', written over a horizontal line.

Eric D. Green, Special Master

EXHIBIT E

3. The Court [APPROVES] the Special Master's determination that the claims of the Claimants set forth in Exhibit B are ineligible for compensation from the Individual Restitution Fund.

4. The Court [APPROVES] conditioning payment from the IRF to individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order.

5. The Court [DIRECTS] that Distributions shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology.

6. This Court retains jurisdiction over all matters covered by, or related to, this Order.

So ordered.

Dated: _____, 2025

NANCY G. EDMUNDS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT A

	Claim No.	Points Awarded	Point Value	Monetary Award
1	360	8,500	\$224.00	\$1,904,000.00
2	400	313	\$224.00	\$70,112.00
3	10001617	175	\$224.00	\$39,200.00
4	10001476	215	\$224.00	\$48,160.00
5	10001648	600	\$224.00	\$134,400.00
6	10001655	900	\$224.00	\$201,600.00
7	397	1,000	\$224.00	\$224,000.00
8	399	1,000	\$224.00	\$224,000.00
9	403	500	\$224.00	\$112,000.00
	Total Points	13,203	Total Award	\$2,957,472.00

EXHIBIT B

	Claim No.	Ineligibility Reason
1	10001669	Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator

EXHIBIT C

IRF Pending Claims

Eligibility Notice of Appeal – Ineligible Takata PSAN Inflator

Based on the available information presented to the Special Master, the subject vehicles did not have PSAN inflators installed in the airbags claimed to have caused injury.

Affirmed Appeals

No.	Claim ID	Reviewer	Recommendation
1	10001669	Rosen	Affirm

IRF Pending Claims

Notice of Appeals - Valuations

Affirmed Appeals

No.	Claim ID	Special Master's Point Award	Reviewer	Recommendation
1	400	1,000	Rosen	Affirm
2	10001617	313	Gertner	Affirm
3	397	1,000	Rosen	Affirm
4	399	1,000	Rosen	Affirm
5	403	500	Rosen	Affirm

IRF Pending Claims**Notice of Appeals - Valuations****Recommendations to Reconsider**

No.	Claim ID	Special Master's Point Award	Reviewer	Reason for Reconsideration Recommendation	Special Master Decision	Special Master Reasoning
1	360	8,500	Rosen	Reviewer would grant ER, increasing the award 750 points (9,250 total) due to the nature of the prolonged suffering .	Deny Recommendation	After considering the Review Officer's recommendation, the Special Master has determined that the valuation properly follows the IRF Methodology in that the prolonged suffering was accounted for in the 1,500 point adjustment already included in the valuation.

EXHIBIT D

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff)	
v.)	Case No. 16-CR-20810-04
TAKATA CORPORATION, Defendant.)	Honorable George Caram Steeh

MINUTES OF JULY 25, 2019 CONFERENCE WITH SPECIAL MASTER

On July 25, 2019, Special Master Eric D. Green conferred with the Court to discuss the substantial progress made in evaluating Current Claims. The Special Master reported that he and his team of professionals have nearly completed the Current Claims evaluation process, including the initial evaluation of each Current Claim, provision of notice of initial determinations and the opportunity to appeal, the re-examination of claims on appeal by the Review Officers, and the Special Master's consideration of the recommendations of the Review Officers, all in accordance with the revised IRF Methodology approved by the Court on March 21, 2018 (Doc. 78). The Court and the Special Master then discussed the process for obtaining court approval of Current Claim dispositions and the final dollar value of a point. After conferring with the Special Master, the Court considered and approved the following procedure and timeline:

1. In early August, 2019, the Special Master intends to file a motion with the Court seeking approval of all Current Claim dispositions, the dollar value of a point, and the form of release¹ to be executed by the claimant and submitted to the Special Master in order for the claimant to receive his or her allocated distribution (the “Motion”). The Motion will include a list of the awards to be given by claim number and claimant name; provided, however, that the claimant name shall be redacted to preserve confidentiality.

2. After filing the Motion, the Special Master will notify Current Claimants of their point award and the monetary value of the award (if any), which is subject to court-approval. Current Claimants also will be notified that they may object to the Motion by submitting a written response to the Special Master on or before August 30, 2019.

3. Shortly following the objection deadline, the Special Master will confer with Judge Steeh to review the Current Claim dispositions and any submitted objections.

4. Following that meeting, the Special Master will request that the Court enter an order approving the Motion as initially submitted or

¹ The Court previously approved conditioning payment on submitting a release and the content of the release as part of the IRF Methodology.

amended by the Special Master. Following approval by the Court, the Special Master shall commence the distribution process to eligible Claimants.

Dated: July 29, 2019

s/George Caram Steeh
GEORGE CARAM STEEH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on July 29, 2019, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

s/Marcia Beauchemin
Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT E

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff

V.

TAKATA CORPORATION,
Defendant.

Case No. 16-CR-20810-04

Honorable Nancy G. Edmunds

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SPECIAL
MASTER’S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF THIRTY-SECOND
DISTRIBUTION OF INDIVIDUAL RESTITUTION FUND**

Upon the request of Eric D. Green in his capacity as Special Master for approval of the thirty-second distribution of the Individual Restitution Fund:¹

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1. The Court [APPROVES] the Special Master's determinations and recommendations regarding the Claimants listed in Exhibit A to the Distribution Request. The Special Master shall distribute the amount of \$2,957,472.00 to the Claimants listed on Exhibit A.

2. All objections submitted in connection with this Request are [OVERRULED].

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the *Special Master's Request for Approval of First Distribution of Individual Restitution Fund* (the "Distribution Request").

3. The Court [APPROVES] the Special Master's determination that the claims of the Claimants set forth in Exhibit B are ineligible for compensation from the Individual Restitution Fund.

4. The Court [APPROVES] conditioning payment from the IRF to individuals represented by counsel on execution of a rider by counsel acknowledging and agreeing to abide by the restriction on attorney's fees set forth in the IRF Methodology Order.

5. The Court [DIRECTS] that Distributions shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Revised IRF Methodology.

6. This Court retains jurisdiction over all matters covered by, or related to, this Order.

So ordered.

Dated: _____, 2025

NANCY G. EDMUNDS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE